UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,421	04/10/2006	Robertus Albertus Brondijk	NL031269US1	3754
24737 7590 05/25/2010 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAMINER	
			BUTCHER, BRIAN M	
BRIARCLIFF	RIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/25/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/575,421	BRONDIJK, ROBERTUS ALBERTUS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	BRIAN BUTCHER	2627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DY - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Fe	ebruary 2010.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
, 	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 - 14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 - 14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 10 April 2006 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 30 March 2010, 30 March 2010.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1 – 14 remain rejected for the reasons set forth in the previous Office Action mailed 11/25/2009.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 - 3 and 5 - 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito et al. (United States Patent US 7,184,377 B2), hereinafter referenced as Ito, in view of Weijenbergh et al. (United States Patent US 7,082,092 B2), hereinafter referenced as Weijenbergh, and further in view of Yoon et al. (United States Patent Application Publication US 2003/0002420 A1), hereinafter referenced as Yoon.

Claims 9, 10, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito, in view of Yoon.

Claims 4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito, in view of Weijenbergh, in view of Yoon, and further in view of Ueki (United States Patent US 6,678,236), hereinafter referenced as Ueki.

Claims 11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito, in view of Yoon, and further in view of Ueki.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 02/19/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the grouped argument concerning each of the rejections concerning claims 1, 5, 9, and 12 (Remarks, page 10, lines 3 – 8), the Examiner agrees that Ito does not disclose or suggest the features listed from line 3 to line 8 by Applicant. Also, the Examiner agrees with the Applicant's characterization of both Weijenbergh and Yoon from page 10, line 9 to page 11, (Remarks, to the end of indented citation). As indicated in the previous Office Action, Weijenbergh is relied upon to teach "a subsequent finalization step of writing information patterns representing control information in the inner control information areas and the outer control information areas of said first and second information layers" (Office Action, page 3, lines 18 – 21). Notice that Weijenbergh is also applied to claim 5, but is not applied to the rejections set forth for either of claims 9 or 12. Specifically, other than at the time of the conclusion of recording when a lead-out is written during finalization, the Examiner agrees with Applicant that Weijenbergh "has nothing to do with when [both of user information and control information 1 are written on a second layer of the disc." (Remarks, page 11, lines 9 - 10 (omitting lines of indented citations)). In addition, in the previous Office Action, Yoon is relied upon to teach "after the writing user information act and before a jump to a second information layer for writing further user information in the second information layer, writing control information in a control area of the second information layer"

(Office Action, page 8, lines 7 - 9). Specifically, notice that the Examiner does not rely upon Yoon to teach any other features than those described above and therefore the Examiner agrees with Applicant that Yoon "has nothing to do how tracking is maintained and does not disclose or suggest that "after writing control information act, writing the further user information in a user information area of the second information layer" " (Remarks, page 12, lines 1 - 4). Notice that the Examiner relies upon Ito for the teaching of the above limitation discussed in the Remarks ("after ...layer") (Office Action, page 8, lines 14 - 17).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRIAN BUTCHER whose telephone number is (571)270-5575. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday from 6:30 AM to 3:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,421

Art Unit: 2627

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne Young, can be reached at (571) 272 - 7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/BMB/ Examiner, Art Unit 2627 May 22, 2010

/Wayne Young/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627